

Message

From: Donovan, Betsy [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=1075D24015DB49549A456BC334BD9C25-DONOVAN, BETSY]
Sent: 2/23/2016 3:29:51 PM
To: John Persico [JPersico@Geosyntec.com]
Subject: RE: Rolling Knolls

Thanks John. We would like to add a discussion of toxicity testing to agenda item 3.h. per Stephanie's suggestion of discussing the pros and cons of toxicity testing on the final BERA. If any additional sampling is necessary (i.e., groundwater) could that be added to the schedule? We also want to note that the HHRA needs to be reviewed in light of the data gaps results.

From: John Persico [mailto:JPersico@Geosyntec.com]
Sent: Monday, February 22, 2016 6:42 PM
To: Donovan, Betsy <Donovan.Betsy@epa.gov>; McKenzie, Jill <Jill.McKenzie@dep.nj.gov>
Cc: Ricci, Richard F. <RRicci@lowenstein.com>; John Samuelian <jsamuelian@integral-corp.com>; robert.workman@novartis.com; Robert Workman (RWorkman@enviro-sciences.com) (RWorkman@enviro-sciences.com) <RWorkman@enviro-sciences.com>; Fisher, Gary (Nokia - US) (gary.fisher@nokia.com) <gary.fisher@nokia.com>; Brian Bergeron <pete.bergeron@chevron.com>; mfaigen@issuesllc.com
Subject: Rolling Knolls

Betsy and Jill – an agenda for our meeting on Wednesday, and a proposed schedule for the remaining project deliverables, are attached.

Regarding the schedule, NJDEP guidance indicates that at least 4 consecutive quarters of groundwater sampling are necessary to verify that monitored natural attenuation is an effective remedy (in conjunction with other observations). Although we haven't yet discussed the scope of the MNA study, for this schedule we assumed that we would conduct four quarterly sampling events beginning in the second quarter of 2016, and that the draft RIR and draft FS would be submitted after USEPA approved a technical memo describing the results of the MNA study.

Let me know if you have any questions.